



Appendix J

Nonconformance and Corrective Action Reports

OE Site Identification	Nonconforming Process			Report No.	Date
OE Site: OE-15MOCO 2	Geo survey <input type="checkbox"/>	Reacq: <input type="checkbox"/>	Other	152	4/5/2005
Grid: C2C7A8	Processing <input type="checkbox"/>	Clearance: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			

Part I (UXO06)

Description of Nonconforming Condition:(1)

During the QC-1 inspection, a 1.5-lb. MEC-like item was located at anomaly #C2C7A8-P2-0002 with a 4.5 mV response. The item was located 8" under approximately 6" of asphalt. This dig was documented as a false positive in the grid operation record by the digital excavation team. Additionally, there was no evidence of prior excavations at this anomaly location. This condition constitutes a dig failure for the anomaly indicated.

Apparent Cause of Nonconformance:(2)

The UXO excavation team did not adequately check the anomaly excavation site.

Signature: Andrew Kothleitner 4/5/2005
(QCM) (Date)

Corrective Action Due Date: 4/26/2005
Severity Level 2

Copy Delivered to: ☐ Geophysical Manager ☒ FOM ☐ SUXOS ☐ PM
Signature: [Signature] 4/5/2005
(FOM) (Date)

Signature: [Signature] 4/5/2005
(PM) (Date)

Part II (OPERATIONS)

Recommended Corrective Action:(3)

Resurvey: <input type="checkbox"/>	Reacq: <input type="checkbox"/>	Other:
Reprocess: <input type="checkbox"/>	Re-clear: <input type="checkbox"/>	

FOM failed to direct team to bend flags after digital excavation was complete so that QC-1 could be completed at original point of reacquire IAW with MOCO SSWP. Instead the team conducted the digital excavation IAW the Ranges 43-48 SSWP and removed the flags at the completion of each grid so that the flags had to be replaced prior to the QC-1 investigation. Although the database indicates that the flag was not moved from the original GPS location during reacquire, it is not possible to verify the two locations are the exact same. No other flags failed QC-1 indicating that this was an isolated incident and this was the last action on this particular task. Regardless, the team leader was briefed that any future digs on MOCO 2 would require bending the flag for QC-1 checks and was also briefed on the importance of following the flow chart in the case of a FP digging a 12" diameter

Root Cause Analysis (only for severity level 1) : (4)

Signature: [Signature] 4.6.05
(FOM) (Date)

Signature: [Signature] 4.6.05
(PM) (Date)

Part III (Corrective Action Verification):(5)

Corrective Action Was Completed On: 4/5/2005
(Date)

Signature: [Signature] (FOM)

Signature: [Signature] (PM)

Corrective Action Was Verified On: 4/5/2005
Closeout Comments: (6) (Date)

Signature: Andrew Kothleitner (QCM)

☒ Approved ☐ Disapproved

New NCR number: _____

Signature: Andrew Kothleitner (QCM)

Note 1: When all actions have been completed a copy of this form shall be attached to the Grid Final QC Report Form

Note 2: When all actions have been completed a copy of this form shall be provided to the CESPCK Project Manager